RURAL SUBWATERSHED ASSESSMENT

The Soil & Water Conservation District has completed the process of assessing the rural portions of the Chisago Lakes Chain of Lakes Watershed for the best locations for water quality projects. This assessment is based on the protocol developed by the Washington Conservation District for their Top 50 P! Report. The assessment has identified potential Best Management Projects (BMPs) including water and sediment control basins, rock-lined channels, grassed waterways, filter strips, livestock management, and others. These projects were modeled to determine the amount of potential pollution reduction that may be gained by implementing the suggested BMP and the approximate cost to do so.

Example Project Profile

Project Description

This is a large agricultural field of about 46 acres. It is planted in a corn-soybean rotation. There is a large concentrated flow path running through the field and a drainage ditch runs alongside the field. The concentrated flow area drains to the ditch, which flows through more agricultural fields, pastures, and empties into Rush Lake.

BMP Recommendation

The concentrated flow areas should be converted to a grassed waterway. A 50-foot filter strip should be installed along the drainage ditch.



| Catchment Summary | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Field Acres | 45.6 | | | | | |
| Current Cover | Corn/Beans | | | | | |
| # of Landowners | 1 | | | | | |
| Removed TP (Lb/yr) | 197 | | | | | |
| Removed TSS (Ton/yr) | 182 | | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | \$23,911 | | | | | |
| Cost/Lb TP | \$121 | | | | | |
| Model Inputs | | | | | | |
| Soil Type | 346;292;75 | | | | | |
| Slopes >6% | No | | | | | |

| Practice | Removed TP (Lb/yr) | Removed TSS (Ton/yr) | Watershed Size (Acres) | Average Watershed Slope | Distance to Surface Water (Feet) | Length (Feet) | Estimated Cost | Cost/Lb TP |
|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| GW 1 | 109 | 109 | 35.8 | 1.1 | 0' | 2,525' | \$12,411 | \$114 |
| GW 2 | 27 | 27 | 4.3 | 2 | 0' | 500' | \$3,805 | \$141 |
| GW 3 | 19 | 19 | 7.4 | 1.9 | 0' | 576' | \$4,128 | \$217 |
| Practice | Removed TP (Lb/yr) | Removed TSS (Ton/yr) | Existing Filter Strip (Feet) | | | Area (Acres) | Estimated Cost | Cost/Lb TP |
| Filter Strip | 42 | 27 | <5' | | | 3.7 | \$3,567 | \$85 |

Partners:

Chisago Lakes Lake
Improvement District
Chisago Soil & Water
Conservation District

Targeted Water:

Little, North Center,
South Center, Chisago,
Green, Little Green,
North Lindstrom, and
South Lindstrom Lakes.

Each identified BMP will be included in a Project Profile. This profile will include a map showing location of BMPs and watersheds, a project description, a BMP recommendation, a catchment summary chart, and a BMP practice chart.

The catchment summary chart includes information such as acres, current cover, number of landowners, soil type, slopes, and the total pollution reduction numbers.

The watershed size, slope, and pollution reduction numbers for each individual BMP is identified in the BMP practice chart.

The practices can be compared by pollution reduction and/or cost per pound of phosphorus reduction to prioritize the identified BMPs in the watershed.